arXiv:1611.07166v1 [nucl-th] 22 Nov 2016

Lepton production cross sections in quasielastic $\nu/\bar{\nu}-$A scattering

M. Sajjad Athar, F. Akbar, M. Rafi Alam, S. Chauhan, S. K. Singh and F. Zaidi
Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh-202 002, India

We present the results of (anti)neutrino induced CCQE cross sections from some nuclear targets in the energy region of $E_\nu \leq 1\ GeV$. The aim of the study is to confront electron and muon production cross sections relevant for $\nu_\mu \leftrightarrow \nu_e$ or $\bar{\nu}_\mu \leftrightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ oscillation experiments. The effects due to lepton mass and its kinematic implications, second class currents and uncertainties in the axial and pseudoscalar form factors are discussed for (anti)neutrino induced reaction cross sections on free nucleon as well as the nucleons bound in a nucleus where nuclear medium effects influence the cross section. The calculations have been performed using local Fermi gas model with nucleon correlation effects. The details are given in Ref.[1].

PACS numbers: 12.15.Lk, 12.15.-y, 13.15+g, 13.60Rj, 21.60.Jz, 24.10Cn, 25.30Pt

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent measurement of the neutrino mixing angle θ_{13} in $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e$ oscillation experiments at T2K [2] and $\bar{\nu}_e$ disappearance experiments with reactor antineutrinos [3–5] have opened up the possibilities of studying physics of mass hierarchy(MH) and CP violation in the three flavor phenomenology of neutrino oscillations. The ongoing experiments at T2K and NOνA, and future experiments planned at DUNE, SBND and T2HK in appearance and disappearance channels with ν_μ and $\bar{\nu}_\mu$ mode i.e. $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu) \rightarrow \nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ and $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu) \rightarrow \nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$, respectively, will be able to determine various parameters of PMNS matrix with higher precision and answer decisively the question of neutrino mass hierarchy(MH) and CP violation in lepton sector.

In the neutrino oscillation experiments with $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ beams in the appearance channels i.e. $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu) \rightarrow \nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$, the major source of background comes from the beam contamination due to presence of $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ in $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ beams. It is, therefore, extremely important to understand theoretically the difference between the interaction cross sections of various processes induced by $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ and $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ on nucleon and nuclear targets. In the present experiments at T2K, where the target material will mainly consists of ^{12}C(^{16}O) in near(far) detector, the nuclear effects will be quite important as the beam energy is low i.e. $E_\nu \sim 0.6$ GeV. On the other hand at NOνA, where the beam energy is relatively higher i.e. $E_\nu \sim 2$ GeV, the nuclear effects will be relatively smaller but still there will be significant differences in the reaction cross section induced by $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ and $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ as discussed recently by Day and McFarland [6] in the case of quasielastic processes. Moreover, at NOνA energies, there would be contribution from inelastic processes of one pion production and deep inelastic scattering(DIS) for which there have been no comparative studies of lepton production yields induced by $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ and $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$.

Day and McFarland [6] have shown that the cross sections for charged current quasielastic processes induced by $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ and $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ would be different even in the presence of lepton universality of weak interaction due to various reasons which owe their origin to different masses of produced charged leptons $\mu^-(\mu^+)$ and $e^-(e^+)$, which are:

- Different kinematics for charged leptons $\mu^-(\mu^+)$ and $e^-(e^+)$ in presence of lepton mass will show in $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2}$, $\frac{d\sigma}{dE}$, $\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta}$ due to change in kinematical limits of Q^2, E and θ.
- The effects of uncertainties in vector and axial vector form factors which are quite important in the case of axial vector form factor in its use of axial dipole mass M_A will affect the cross section differently for $\mu^-(\mu^+)$ and $e^-(e^+)$ production due to change in kinematic variables in presence of lepton mass.
- There will be additional contribution due to pseudoscalar from factor which will be different for $\mu^-(\mu^+)$ and $e^-(e^+)$ production. This could be important at low $\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l)$ energies.
- In the presence of second class currents(SCC) in the phenomenology of weak currents, the contribution of SCC being lepton mass dependent will be different for $\mu^-(\mu^+)$ and $e^-(e^+)$ production.
- The effect of radiative corrections, being dependent on lepton mass will be different for $\mu^-(\mu^+)$ and $e^-(e^+)$ production.

In the context of present and future experiments at T2K, NOνA, DUNE, SBND and T2HK which will be using nuclei like ^{12}C, ^{16}O, ^{40}Ar, ^{56}Fe and ^{208}Pb as target materials, it is desirable that we have a quantitative estimate of nuclear medium effects on the total cross sections and other observables like the angular and energy distributions of charged leptons which are used in the experimental analysis with this goal in mind, we have extended the study of Day and McFarland [6] to various nuclear targets and calculated various observables which can be measured in these experiments. In this contribution, we report our results due to the effect of considering various physics inputs

mentioned in this section on the total cross section of quasielastic charged current reactions when nuclear medium effects are also included [1].

We have performed our calculations in the local Fermi gas model(LFG) including the effect of Fermi motion and Pauli blocking. The effect of nucleon-nucleon correlations are also incorporated through the interaction of particle–hole (1p–1h) excitation in the nuclear medium in a random phase approximation(RPA) following our earlier work [7] and work of Nieves et al. [8]. The present results are compared with the results available in some other versions of Fermi gas model [9–11]. It would be interesting to extend this work to compute total lepton yield due to inelastic and DIS processes induced by $\mu^-(\mu^+)$ and $e^-(e^+)$ in the presence of lepton mass effects in the region of $E_{\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l)} \sim$ few GeV.

A. Formalism

The basic reaction for the quasielastic process, where a (anti)neutrino interacts with a (proton)neutron target is given as:

$$\nu_l(k) + n(p) \to l^-(k') + p(p'); \qquad \bar{\nu}_l(k) + p(p) \to l^+(k') + n(p') \tag{1}$$

Transition matrix element for reactions given Eq. 1 is:

$$\mathcal{M} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \cos\theta_c \; l_\mu \; J^\mu \tag{2}$$

where G_F is Fermi coupling constant and θ_c is Cabibbo angle.

Leptonic weak current is given by:

$$l_\mu = \bar{u}(k')\gamma_\mu(1 \pm \gamma_5)u(k) \tag{3}$$

where (+)-ve sign is for (antineutrino)neutrino. Hadronic current is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} J^\mu &= \bar{u}(p') \left[F_1^V(Q^2)\gamma^\mu + F_2^V(Q^2) i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\frac{q_\nu}{2M} + F_3^V(Q^2)\frac{q^\mu}{M} \right. \\ &+ \left. F_A(Q^2)\gamma^\mu\gamma^5 + F_P(Q^2)\frac{q^\mu}{M}\gamma^5 + F_3^A(Q^2)\frac{(p+p')^\mu}{M}\gamma^5 \right] u(p), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$Q^2(=-q^2) \geq 0$ is the four momentum transfer square and M is the nucleon mass. $F_{1,2}^V(Q^2)$ are the isovector vector form factors and $F_A(Q^2)$, $F_P(Q^2)$ are the axial and pseudoscalar form factors, respectively. $F_3^V(Q^2)$ and $F_3^A(Q^2)$ are the form factors related with the second class current. Isovector vector form factors $F_{1,2}^V(Q^2)$ of the nucleons are given as

$$F_{1,2}^V(Q^2) = F_{1,2}^p(Q^2) - F_{1,2}^n(Q^2) \tag{5}$$

where $F_1^{p(n)}(Q^2)$ and $F_2^{p(n)}(Q^2)$ are the Dirac and Pauli form factors of proton(neutron) which in turn are expressed in terms of the experimentally determined Sach's electric $G_E^{p,n}(Q^2)$ and magnetic $G_M^{p,n}(Q^2)$ form factors.

Dipole form has been used for the axial form factor, $F_A(Q^2) = F_A(0)\left[1 + \frac{Q^2}{M_A^2}\right]^{-2}$, with $F_A(0) = -1.267$ and world average(WA) value of axial dipole mass $M_A = 1.026$ GeV. Pseudoscalar form factor $F_P(Q^2)$, is obtained by using Goldberger–Treimann relation [9]:

$$F_P(Q^2) = \frac{2M^2 F_A(Q^2)}{m_\pi^2 + Q^2}. \tag{6}$$

We have used the following expressions for $F_3^V(Q^2)$ and $F_3^A(Q^2)$ as given in Ref. [6]:

$$F_3^V(Q^2) = 4.4 \; F_1^V(Q^2); \qquad F_3^A(Q^2) = 0.15 \; F_A(Q^2). \tag{7}$$

The double differential cross section on free nucleon is obtained as:

$$\sigma_{free}(E_l, \Omega_l) \equiv \frac{d^2\sigma}{dE_l \; d\Omega_l} = \frac{|\vec{k}'|}{64\pi^2 E_\nu E_n E_p} \bar{\Sigma}\Sigma |\mathcal{M}|^2 \delta[q_0 + E_n - E_p] \tag{8}$$



FIG. 1: Ratio $\frac{\sigma_A/N}{\sigma_{free}}$ vs E_ν, for neutrino(Left panel) and antineutrino(Right panel) induced processes in ^{40}Ar and ^{208}Pb. The solid(dashed) line represent cross section obtained from electron(muon) type neutrino and antineutrino beams. For neutrino induced process N = A – Z, is neutron number and for antineutrino induced process N = Z, is proton number. σ_A is cross section in nuclear target and has been evaluated using Local Fermi Gas Model(LFG) and LFG with RPA effect(LFG+RPA) and σ_{free} is the cross section for the free nucleon case.

In the local density approximation(LDA), which takes into account Pauli blocking, Fermi motion with RPA correlations, the cross section is given by(details are given in Ref. [1]):

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(E_\nu) &= -2{G_F}^2\cos^2\theta_c\int_{r_{min}}^{r_{max}} r^2dr\int_{{k'}_{min}}^{{k'}_{max}} k'dk'\int_{Q^2_{min}}^{Q^2_{max}} dQ^2\frac{1}{E_\nu^2E_l}L_{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu}_{RPA}\\ &\times\ ImU_N[E_\nu - E_l - Q_r - V_c(r), \vec{q}]\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

To study the lepton mass dependence on electron and muon type (anti)neutrino induced scattering cross sections in free nucleon as well as nuclear target, we define:

$$\Delta_I = \frac{\sigma_{\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)} - \sigma_{\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)}}{\sigma_{\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)}} \tag{10}$$

where I =(i) free (anti)neutrino-nucleon case,(ii)LFG,(iii)LFG+RPA effect.
We have studied the dependence of the cross section on axial dipole mass by using δ_{M_A}, which is given as:

$$\delta_{M_A} = \frac{\sigma_{\nu_l}(M_A^{modified}) - \sigma_{\nu_l}(M_A = WA)}{\sigma_{\nu_l}(M_A = WA)}. \tag{11}$$

To study the effect of pseudoscalar and second class vector form factors on the scattering cross section, we define:

$$\Delta_{F_i} = \left(\frac{\sigma_{\nu_\mu}(F_i \neq 0) - \sigma_{\nu_e}(F_i \neq 0)}{\sigma_{\nu_e}(F_i \neq 0)}\right) - \left(\frac{\sigma_{\nu_\mu}(F_i = 0) - \sigma_{\nu_e}(F_i = 0)}{\sigma_{\nu_e}(F_i = 0)}\right) \tag{12}$$

where F_i stands for either $F_P(Q^2)$, $F_3^V(Q^2)$ or $F_3^A(Q^2)$.

To observe the effect of radiative corrections on the scattering cross section, we define

$$\Delta_{RC} = \left(\frac{{\sigma_{\nu_\mu}}^{RC} - {\sigma_{\nu_e}}^{RC}}{{\sigma_{\nu_e}}^{RC}}\right) - \left(\frac{{\sigma_{\nu_\mu}}^{NR} - {\sigma_{\nu_e}}^{NR}}{{\sigma_{\nu_e}}^{NR}}\right), \tag{13}$$

where ${\sigma_{\nu_l}}^{RC}$ and ${\sigma_{\nu_l}}^{NC}$ stand for total cross section with and without radiative corrections.

B. Results and discussion

In Fig. 1, we have shown the ratio of total nuclear cross section per interacting nucleon to total cross section for free nucleon target for $\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l)$ induced CCQE scattering in ^{40}Ar and ^{208}Pb separately for $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ and $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$. To incorporate nuclear medium effects, we have used LFG with and without nucleon correlation effects using RPA . For ^{40}Ar, we observe reduction in the total cross section when we include nuclear medium effects using LFG, for example at E_ν =



FIG. 2: Δ_I(Eq. 10) for neutrino(left panel) and antineutrino(right panel) induced processes in ^{12}C and ^{40}Ar targets. Here I stands for the results of the cross sections obtained (i) for the free nucleon case(solid line) (ii) in the Local Fermi Gas Model(dashed line) and (iii) LFG with RPA effect(dashed dotted line).



FIG. 3: The dependence of cross section on M_A obtained using Eq. 11. The results are shown for $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ and $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ induced processes on free nucleon as well as on ^{40}Ar target using LFG with and without RPA effect. Solid(dashed) line denotes results for the free nucleon case with M_A = 0.9 GeV (1.2 GeV), results obtained using LFG are shown by dashed dotted(double dashed dotted) and results for LFG with RPA effect are shown by dashed double dotted(dotted).

0.3 GeV the decrease is $\sim$ 26%(44%) for $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ and 26%(45%) for $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$. With the inclusion of RPA i.e LFG+RPA we observe further reduction. At E_ν = 0.3 GeV the reduction in the total cross section with LFG+RPA for $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ and $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ induced reactions is $\sim$ 55%(58%) and 57%(61%), respectively.

In Fig. 2, we have shown the effect of lepton mass by defining Δ_I(Eq. 10) for (i) free nucleon case, (ii) LFG, and (iii) LFG + RPA for ^{12}C and ^{40}Ar nuclear targets. At low energies, the difference in the production cross section for $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ and $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ is large and vanishes with the increase in incoming (anti)neutrino energy. Also, at low energies, as we go from free nucleon case to nuclear medium the reduction increases, for example at E_ν = 0.2 GeV the fractional change for $\nu_l(\bar{\nu}_l)$ induced reactions is $\sim$ 27%(25%) in the case of free nucleon, while in ^{12}C using LFG it is $\sim$ 40%(33%) and with the inclusion of RPA with LFG the reduction is $\sim$ 44%(42%).

We have studied the dependence of total cross section on the axial dipole mass M_A for free nucleon case as well as in ^{40}Ar nuclear target. The numerical results are presented in Fig. 3, where we have plotted δ_{M_A}(Eq. 11). M_A variation is shown by taking 1.026 GeV as reference value and modified values to be 0.9 GeV and 1.2 GeV.

The effect of pseudoscalar form factor on the production cross section of electron and muon for both free nucleon and nucleons bound in ^{40}Ar nuclear target is obtained by using LFG with RPA. The results are presented in Fig. 4 by using Δ_{F_P} defined in Eq. 12. We observe large fractional change in case of antineutrino induced cross section as compared to neutrino induced cross section when we go from free nucleon case to nuclear target. Moreover, with the increase in E_ν, the difference vanishes for both ν_l and $\bar{\nu}_l$.

In Fig. 5, we present the contribution of $F_3^V(Q^2)$ to the total scattering cross section for free nucleon case and in ^{12}C and ^{40}Ar nuclear targets. Since $F_3^V(Q^2)$ is proportional to the lepton mass, therefore, the dependence will be different in $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ case from $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ case. At low (anti)neutrino energies we observe small effect of $F_3^V(Q^2)$ as we move from free nucleon case to nuclear targets. From the figure it may be noticed that the fractional change is the same for both ^{12}C and ^{40}Ar nuclei.



FIG. 4: Results of the fractional change Δ_{F_P} defined in Eq. 12 as a function of (anti)neutrino energy. Results for ν induced cross section for free nucleon case(dashed dotted line) and for ^{40}Ar(solid line) obtained by using LFG with RPA effect. For $\bar{\nu}$, the results are shown by dashed double dotted line(free nucleon case) and dashed line(^{40}Ar target).



FIG. 5: The difference of fractional changes $\Delta_{F_3^V}$ defined in Eq. 12, for the free nucleon case(ν results shown by dashed-dotted line and $\bar{\nu}$ results by dashed-double dotted line) as well as for ^{12}C (circle for ν and triangle up for $\bar{\nu}$) and ^{40}Ar (solid line for ν and dashed line for $\bar{\nu}$) nuclear targets obtained by using LFG.

To see the effect of radiative corrections, we use Eq. 13 to define Δ_{RC} and present our numerical results in Fig. 6 for free nucleon as well as on nuclear targets using LFG with and without RPA. We observe small dependence of total cross section on the radiative corrections for antineutrino induced reactions in the case of free nucleon and nuclear targets.

Thus we find that nuclear medium effects are different in antineutrinos than in neutrinos. Due to threshold effect the suppression in the cross section shows a different behavior for $\nu_e(\bar{\nu}_e)$ and $\nu_\mu(\bar{\nu}_\mu)$ induced processes for $E_\nu < 0.4GeV$.



FIG. 6: The effect of radiative corrections on fractional difference Δ_{RC} defined in Eq. 13 for (anti)neutrino induced processes on free nucleon as well as on ^{40}Ar target using LFG with and without RPA effect. The results are shown for the $\nu(\bar{\nu})$ induced processes on free nucleon by dashed dotted line(plus), for LFG by dashed line (triangle up) and for LFG with RPA effect by solid line(circle).

The effect of radiative corrections is more pronounced in electron events than in muon events.

References

[1] F. Akbar, M. Rafi Alam, M. Sajjad Athar, S. Chauhan, S. K. Singh and F. Zaidi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **24**, 1550079 (2015).
[2] K. Abe et al. [T2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 061802 (2014); Phys. Rev. D **91**, 072010 (2015).
[3] F. P. An et al. [Daya Bay Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **93**, 072011 (2016).
[4] J. H. Choi et al. [RENO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 211801 (2016).
[5] Y. Abe et al. [Double Chooz Collaboration], JHEP **1410**, 086 (2014) Erratum: [JHEP **1502**, 074 (2015)].
[6] M. Day and K. S. McFarland, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 053003 (2012).
[7] S. K. Singh and E. Oset, Nucl. Phys. A **542**, 587 (1992); Phys. Rev. C **48**, 1246 (1993).
[8] J. Nieves, J. E. Amaro and M. Valverde, Phys. Rev. C **70**, 055503 (2004).
[9] C. H. Llewellyn Smith, Phys. Rept. **3**, 261 (1972).
[10] T. K. Gaisser and J. S. O'Connell, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 822 (1986).
[11] R. A. Smith and E. J. Moniz, Nucl. Phys. B **43**, 605 (1972) [Erratum-ibid. B **101**, 547 (1975)].